

1 APPEARANCES: (Cont 'd)

2 MR. DARRELL TOWNSLEY
3 205 North Michigan Avenue
4 Suite 3700
5 Chicago, Illinois 60601

6 (Appearing on behalf of Worldcom,
7 Incorporated via teleconference)

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	I N D E X				
2	WITNESSES	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
3	(None)				
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12	EXHIBITS		MARKED		ADMITTED
13	(None)				
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 EXAMINER WOODS: We'll go on the record.

3 This is Docket 00-0393, an investigation
4 into a tariff filed by Ameritech now on rehearing.
5 The cause was scheduled today, June 14, 2001, for a
6 status hearing to discuss scheduling and other
7 matters.

8 We have a number of parties appearing by
9 telephone. I would take those appearances at this
10 time, beginning with Ameritech.

11 MR. COVEY: On behalf of Ameritech, Ty Covey,
12 Mayer, Brown & Platt, 190 South La Salle Street,
13 Chicago, Illinois 60603.

14 MS. HERTEL: Also appearing on behalf of
15 Ameritech Illinois, Nancy Hertel, H-E-R-T-E-L, 225
16 West Randolph, 25D, Chicago, 60606.

17 EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of Sprint.

18 MR. SCHIFMAN: On behalf of Sprint
19 Communications L.P., Ken Schifman, 8140 Ward
20 Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri 64114.

21 EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of Rhythm Links.

22 MR. BOWEN: Appearing for Rhythms, Stephen P.

1 Bowen, B-O-W-E-N, and Anita Taff-Rice
2 T-A-F-F-R-I-C-E, Blumenfeld and Cohen, 4
3 Embarcadero Center, Suite 1170, San Francisco,
4 California 94111.

5 EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of MCI WorldCom.

6 MR. TOWNSLEY: Appearing on behalf of MCI
7 WorldCom, Incorporated, Darrell Townsley, 205 North
8 Michigan Avenue, Suite 3700, Chicago, Illinois
9 60601.

10 EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of Covad.

11 MS. FRANCO-FEINBERG: On behalf of Covad
12 Communications Company, Felicia Franco-Feinberg,
13 227 West Monroe, 20th Floor, Chicago, Illinois
14 60606.

15 EXAMINER WOODS: On behalf of AT&T. Mr. Dunn,
16 are you still with us? Mr. Dunn must have left the
17 premises.

18 On behalf of Staff.

19 MR. HARVEY: For the Staff of the Illinois
20 Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey and Sean R.
21 Brady, 160 North La Salle Street, Suite C-800,
22 Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104.

1 EXAMINER WOODS: Any additional --

2 MR. DUNN: This is John Dunn. Did you not
3 hear me before?

4 EXAMINER WOODS: No, we didn't, John.

5 MR. DUNN: All right. On behalf of AT&T, John
6 Dunn and Cheryl Hamill, 222 West Adams, Suite e 1500,
7 Chicago, Illinois 60606.

8 EXAMINER WOODS: Any additional appearances?
9 Let the record reflect no response.

10 The record would also reflect
11 discussions had among the parties on a number of
12 issues.

13 The first issue involves the intention
14 of a number of the CLECs to file a motion to strike
15 portions of the direct testimony of a number of
16 Ameritech witnesses. Following a great deal of
17 discussions, it was agreed that that motion will be
18 filed on or before Monday, the 18th of June.
19 Ameritech would file a response to that motion on
20 the 20th of June, and we're going to set the issue
21 for oral argument at 12 o'clock noon on Thursday,
22 the 21st, in Springfield.

1 I would ask that the CLECs establish a
2 phone bridge. While a number of parties will be in
3 Springfield participating in a number of hearings,
4 some of the parties will not, and they would like
5 to participate by phone.

6 There was also a discussion concerning
7 the schedule. It was agreed that as things stands
8 now at least one additional day of evidentiary
9 hearings will be required in this cause. To that
10 end, we've extended the schedule to include Friday,
11 July 20th, for cross-examination. That would call
12 for the hearings at this time to run from July 17th
13 through and including the 20th. The parties also
14 indicated that it may be necessary to spillover to
15 the 23rd for additional cross-examination.

16 Some parties requested modification of
17 the schedule based upon the volume of testimony
18 that was filed. At this time those requests have
19 been denied. We're going to take a look at that
20 issue again following the oral argument next week.
21 My inclination is that the schedule as it currently
22 exists is going to remain in place given the fact

1 that this is on a 150-day calendar and we are up
2 against pretty tight deadlines in getting it to the
3 Commission for action.

4 In addition, some of the parties have
5 indicated their intention to pursue a discovery
6 mechanism through which they would simply ask
7 Ameritech for permission to use documents which
8 have been provided in other states. A discussion
9 indicated that some of those documents are subject
10 to protective orders in those states, and Ameritech
11 at this time indicated that it has not reached a
12 position of whether or not they're willing to waive
13 or otherwise participate in the waiver of the
14 operation of that protective order.

15 The parties were instructed to, again,
16 discuss this matter among themselves prior to the
17 oral argument next Thursday. My preference would
18 be that Ameritech does, in fact, agree to enter
19 into the waiver of the protective orders in an
20 effort to expedite discovery. In the event they do
21 not, the parties anticipate probably filing motions
22 to compel or some other discovery mechanism to

1 pursue that issue, but, again, we'll be taking that
2 up next Thursday.

3 Any of the parties have anything else to
4 add at this time?

5 MR. BOWEN: Your Honor, Steve Bowen for
6 Rhythms. I would just add that the waiver we're
7 asking Ameritech to agree to is simply a waiver of
8 the restriction on use to Texas only. It is not a
9 waiver to make documents that are deemed
10 proprietary public documents. That is, we would be
11 happy to have the documents be used in Illinois
12 pursuant to an appropriate nondisclosure agreement.

13 EXAMINER WOODS: That's my understanding.
14 Anything else?

15 MR. DUNN: This is John Dunn. I'd like to
16 order one copy of the transcript, please.

17 EXAMINER WOODS: Okay. Anybody else?

18 MR. COVEY: Yeah, Ty Covey.

19 MR. BOWEN: And Steve Bowen for Rhythms,
20 please.

21 MR. SCHIFMAN: Sprint, please.

22 MR. TOWNSLEY: And MCI WorldCom would like a

1 transcript as well.

2 EXAMINER WOODS: Okay. Thank you.

3 We'll continue this cause then to

4 Thursday, June 21, 2001, at noon o'clock.

5 (Whereupon the case was

6 continued to June 21, 2001,

7 at 12:00 noon in

8 Springfield, Illinois.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)
)SS
2 COUNTY OF SANGAMON)

3 CASE NO.: 00-0393

4 TITLE: ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY

5

6

7

8 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

9 I, Cheryl A. Davis, do hereby certify that I
10 am a court reporter contracted by Sullivan
11 Reporting Company of Chicago, Illinois; that I
12 reported in shorthand the evidence taken and
13 proceedings had on the hearing on the
14 above-entitled case on the 14th day of June, 2001;
15 that the foregoing pages are a true and correct
16 transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as
17 aforesaid and contain all of the proceedings
18 directed by the Commission or other persons
19 authorized by it to conduct the said hearing to be
20 so stenographically reported.

21 Dated at Springfield, Illinois, on this 23rd
22 day of June, A.D., 2001.

 Certified Shorthand Reporter
 License No. 084-001662